

to which objection is made.’’ *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)) (emphasis and brackets omitted). Absent a timely objection, “a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.” *Id.* (internal quotation marks omitted).

The Court has reviewed the memorandum and recommendation and has not found clear error.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED THAT

- (1) The Memorandums and Recommendations (Docs. 13, 18) are **ADOPTED**;
- (2) Plaintiff’s Motion for Summary Judgment and Amended Motion for Summary Judgment (Docs. 11, 14) are **DENIED**;
- (3) Defendant’s Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 16) is **GRANTED**; and
- (4) The Commissioner’s determination is **AFFIRMED**.

Signed: November 2, 2016



Richard L. Voorhees
United States District Judge

